SACRAMENTO CENTRAL GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Wednesday, May 13, 2015; 9:00 am 10060 Goethe Road Sacramento, CA 95827 (SASD South Conference Room No. 1212 – Sunset Maple)

The Board will discuss all items on this agenda, and may take action on any of those items, including information items and continued items. The Board may also discuss other items that do not appear on this agenda, but will not act on those items unless action is urgent, and a resolution is passed by a two-thirds (2/3) vote declaring that the need for action arose after posting of this agenda.

The public shall have the opportunity to directly address the Board on any item of interest before and during the Board's consideration of that item. Public comment on items within the jurisdiction of the Board is welcomed, subject to reasonable time limitations for each speaker.

1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL - 9:00 a.m.

2. PUBLIC COMMENT: Members of the public who wish to address the Board may do so at this time. Please keep your comments to less than three minutes.

3. CONSENT CALENDAR

• Minutes of March 11, 2015 Board meeting. *Action: Approve Consent Calendar items*

4. BUDGET SUBCOMMITTEE REPORT

 Review Budget Subcommittee recommendations for the 2015/2016 fiscal year budget.

Action: Adopt Resolution No 2015-01 to fund the Authority's administrative budget for fiscal year 2015/2016 and provide for the collection of the annual contributions as described in the JPA.

5. GROUNDWATER SUSTAINABILITY AGENCY

Presentation by staff.

Action: Direct staff to proceed with a process that would lead to the designation of the Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority as the Groundwater Sustainability Agency for the Central Basin.

6. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

- a) Government Affairs Update
- b) Drought Update
- c) GAP Committee

7. DIRECTORS' COMMENTS

ADJOURNMENT

Upcoming meetings –

Next SCGA Board of Directors Meeting – Wednesday, July 8, 2015, 9 am; 10060 Goethe Road, South Conference Room No. 1212 (Sunset Maple).

Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority Board Meeting May 13, 2015

AGENDA ITEM 3: CONSENT CALENDER

BACKGROUND:

Minutes of the March 11, 2015 SCGA Board meeting.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Action: Approve Consent Calendar items.

SACRAMENTO CENTRAL GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY (SCGA)

Governing Board Meeting Draft Minutes March 11, 2015

LOCATION: 10060 Goethe Road, Room 1212

Sacramento, CA 95827 9:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m.

MINUTES:

1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

Dave Ocenosak called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.

The following meeting participants were in attendance:

Board Members (Primary Rep):

Tom Mahon, Agricultural Interests

Mark Madison, Florin Resource Conservation District/Elk Grove Water District

Rick Bettis, Conservation Landowners

Ron Lowry, Omochumne-Hartnell Water District

Dave Ocenosak, Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District

Paul Schubert, Golden State Water Company

Board Members (Alternate Rep):

Roger Kohne, City of Folsom

Britton Snipes, City of Rancho Cordova

Brett Ewart, City of Sacramento

Bruce Kamilos, Florin Resource Conservation District/Elk Grove Water District

José Ramirez, Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District

Ward Winchell, Public Agencies Self-Supplied

Forrest Williams, Sacramento County

Staff Members:

Darrell Eck, Executive Director Ping Chen, SCGA Ramon Roybal, Acting Clerk

Others in Attendance:

Bill Konigsmark, Sacramento County Amanda Platt, Sloughhouse Resource Conservation District Paul Siebensohn, Rancho Murieta CSD

Leyland A. Schneider, Omochumne-Hartnell Water District

Tom Nelson, Florin Resource Conservation District/Elk Grove Water District

SACRAMENTO CENTRAL GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY (SCGA) Governing Board Meeting Draft Minutes – Page 2 March 11, 2015

Mike Schmitz, Florin Resource Conservation District/Elk Grove Water District Rodney Fricke, Aerojet Rocketdyne
Jim Blanke, RMC Water and Environment
Jafar Faghih, HDR
Joe Turner, Brown and Caldwell
Jay Schneider, Public

Member Agencies Absent

City of Elk Grove Agricultural-Residential Commercial/Industrial Self-Supplied California-American Water Company

2. PUBLIC COMMENT

Jay Schneider addressed the Board concerning Groundwater Sustainability legislation, the definition of groundwater sub-basins, and the formation of Groundwater Sustainability Agencies. Mr. Schneider stated that in his opinion a formal groundwater sub-basin focused on the Cosumnes River be identified and formalized with State DWR. Mr. Schneider mentioned that the Omochumne-Hartnell Water District and Sloughhouse Resource Conservation District had hired a consultant to conduct E-Logs in an attempt to identify distinct hydrologic basin boundaries for the proposed Cosumnes River groundwater sub-basin. Mr. Schneider then proposed that once the basin was identified that all the regional groundwater management entities should then cooperatively make an argument to State DWR that the boundary be formally recognized and adopted. Mr. Schneider stated that formally recognizing a distinct Cosumnes River groundwater sub-basin would allow the land owners within that area to independently make decisions related to the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA).

3. CONSENT CALENDAR

The draft meeting minutes for the January 14, 2015 Board meeting were reviewed for final approval.

Motion/Second/Carried – Mr. Bettis moved, seconded by Mr. Kamilos, the motion carried unanimously to approve the minutes.

4. **BUDGET REPORT**

Mr. Eck provided an update on the Fiscal Year 2014/2015 budget stating that the total approved budget for the year was \$832,170 and that through December 31, 2014 the total expenditures were \$196,103 with a remaining balance of \$636,067. Mr. Eck noted that the budget had included \$250,000 for an update to the groundwater management plan which would not be spent due to the passage of SGMA which prohibited updating existing plans after January 1, 2015.

SACRAMENTO CENTRAL GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY (SCGA)
Governing Board Meeting
Draft Minutes – Page 3
March 11, 2015

5. FISCAL YEAR 2015/2016 BUDGET

Mr. Eck requested the formation of a budget subcommittee to prepare a budget recommendation for Fiscal Year 2015/2016 along with a request for 2014 groundwater pumping data from Authority groundwater purveyors for the calculation of their budget contributions. Mr. Bettis, Mr. Schubert, and Mr. Ocenosak volunteered to sit on the budget subcommittee.

6. <u>2013/2014 AUDIT REPORT</u>

Bill Konigsmark, Accounting Manager, Sacramento County Department of Water Resources, provided copies and an overview of the annual financial audit report. Mr. Konigsmark reported that the Auditor had provided an unqualified opinion regarding the Authority's financial statements. Mr. Konigsmark reported that the Auditor had recommended that the Authority change the period of availability of grant funds as reported on the fund financial statements from sixty days to 120 days. The reasoning was that grant funding was typically slower to be received compared to other sources of income and thus caused confusion in reconciliation of fund financial statements from year to year. Mr. Konigsmark stated that it was legal to make the change and that he recommended the change as well. The Board decided to move forward with the change as part of the Fiscal Year 2015/2016 budget adoption process at the May board meeting. Mr. Konigsmark also reported that that the Auditor had mentioned that there were a number of board members who had failed to submit their annual Statement of Economic Interest Form 700 and that this has been an issue in the past but moving forward the Auditor will report on those failing to submit the forms as a Finding in the next Audit Report. Failure to file is required to be reported to the Fair Political Practices Commission and is subject to a fine.

Action: Receive and File

7. <u>UPDATE ON BASIN MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVE THRESHOLD</u> DEVELOPMENT AND RECHARGE MAPPING PROJECT

The purpose of the project is to improve groundwater management through the development of thresholds necessary to implement and monitor a quantitative, measurable Basin Management Objective (BMO) for groundwater levels and to improve the understanding of recharge in the Central Basin in order that more informed land use and water management decisions can be made. This project is being funded through a state AB 303 grant and the Groundwater Authority.

Jim Blanke, RMC Inc., provided an update on the Basin Management Objective Threshold Development and Recharge Mapping Project. (*Note: Mr. Blanke's presentation can be viewed on the Authority's website for the March 11, 2015 meeting date.*)

Mr. Blanke first discussed the threshold development component stating that it was based on an update to the baseline condition of the groundwater model which incorporated landuse/cropping, pumping, surface water diversion records, precipitation, streamflow, future SACRAMENTO CENTRAL GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY (SCGA) Governing Board Meeting Draft Minutes – Page 4 March 11, 2015

land-use, and future water supplies. Mr. Blanke stated that the next steps were to develop a future conditions baseline for the groundwater model, extract hydrographs for each BMO defined polygon grid area from the model, identify maximum and minimum modeled groundwater elevations, aggregate BMO polygons based on similar hydrologic responses, ground truth the results, and develop a framework for monitoring and management.

Mr. Blanke followed by addressing the recharge mapping component reporting that they had completed groundwater sampling and developed a draft recharge map. The next steps were to receive, analyze, and interpret the groundwater sample and verify model simulation of recharge based on sampling results with the goal of providing information on the sources of recharge.

Mr. Blanke then reported that the major deliverables would be draft and final technical memorandums for the threshold development and recharge analysis and a draft and final recharge map. Mr. Blanke stated that two more stakeholder participation events were potentially scheduled for the May 2015 SCGA Board meeting which would include another project update including proposed thresholds and a presentation of the final results at the July 2015 SCGA Board meeting.

8. <u>UPDATE ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SUSTAINABLE GROUNDWATER</u> MANAGEMENT ACT

Mr. Eck stated that the Board had asked staff to provide a report on activities related to the implementation of SGMA. Mr. Eck began by reporting that clean-up legislation was being proposed related to the delineation of basin boundaries. Mr. Eck stated that the legislation called for sub-basin boundaries to be delineated based on Bulletin 118 but that there was a mechanism in the legislation that allowed for these boundaries to be redrawn. Mr. Eck stated that there had been discussion amongst many groups in Northern California supporting delineation of sub-basins based on jurisdictional boundaries such as a County. Mr. Eck stated that the County boundary proposal makes sense for the Authority and other management programs in the County as it supports much of the foundational analysis conducted by the Water Forum such as the determination of sustainable yields for the various groundwater sub-basins in Sacramento County. It would also simplify coordinating agreements between various jurisdictions, particularly where "other" County groundwater management policies may significantly differ from those in Sacramento. As an example, Mr. Eck pointed out that the Cosumnes sub-basin, as defined in Bulletin 118, includes part of Sacramento County as well as parts of northern San Joaquin and western Amador Counties. Mr. Eck as reported that there has been work on clean-up legislation that would address the definition of "de minimis" pumping. The current definition states that pumping two acre-feet or less of groundwater is deemed as de minimis. According to SGMA, pumpers over that threshold could be subject to metering of their well pump if implemented by a local Groundwater Sustainability Agency (GSA). Mr. Eck reported that recent discussion has centered on tying the definition of de minimis pumping to property acreage as opposed to volume of pumping. Mr. Eck then reminded the Board that as a part of the last biannual Basin Management Report, the Authority in conjunction with RMC and Davids Engineering

SACRAMENTO CENTRAL GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY (SCGA) Governing Board Meeting Draft Minutes – Page 5 March 11, 2015

developed a methodology for accurately estimating agricultural and agricultural-residential pumping within the Central Basin. Mr. Eck stated that continued reporting of that information would demonstrate the Authority's ability to accurately account for and report on Ag and ag-res pumping. Mr. Eck pointed out that the development of the methodology for estimating Ag and ag-res pumping, the BMO thresholds and groundwater recharge map strongly support sustainable groundwater management. Mr. Eck then reported on a provision of SGMA that requires sharing the groundwater recharge map with basin land-use agencies. Mr. Eck stated that he has been coordinating with Rob Swartz from the Sacramento Groundwater Authority to meet with representatives of the Sacramento County Environmental Management Department and Planning Departments to discuss issues related to SGMA. Similar discussions will need to be scheduled with the Cities of Sacramento, Rancho Cordova, and Folsom.

Mr. Schubert wanted to confirm that guidance from the State DWR regarding the definition of basin boundaries was forthcoming and when it was expected. Mr. Eck responded in the affirmative and that DWR had planned for January 1, 2016 as the date for adoption of basin boundary regulations. Mr. Schubert then asked about the deadline to file as a GSA; Mr. Eck responded June 30, 2017.

Mr. Madison asked when the establishment of basin boundaries was required. Mr. Eck responded that filing as a GSA requires the delineation of boundaries. Mr. Madison then asked if the de minimis pumping definition of two acre-feet was on a per year or per acre basis. Mr. Eck responded that it was on a per year basis regardless of acreage. Mr. Madison asked Mr. Eck's opinion of what the Galt Irrigation District's response would be if SCGA were made to act as GSA in their jurisdictional area. Mr. Eck responded that he did not specifically know of Galt ID's reaction to such a scenario but reported that he and Rob Swartz had met with Mike Wackman regarding the South Basin's plan to responded to SGMA and Mr. Wackman indicated that the stakeholders were preparing to move forward with a plan to act as the GSA in the South Basin.

Mr. Madison then went on to state that the Florin Resource Conservation District/Elk Grove Water District (FRCD/EGWD) has been following the development of the Groundwater Sustainability legislation. Mr. Madison then announced the attendance of Tom Nelson, FRCD/EGWD vice Chair and Mike Schmitz, FRCD/EGWD Associate Board Member. Mr. Madison stated that the FRCD/EGWD Board had had numerous discussions related to groundwater sustainability and were paying very close attention to it. Mr. Madison then articulated FRCD/EGWD's position that the Authority develop an MOU that would formalize SCGA as the GSA for the Central Basin with its current boundaries, and that the Authority should update the current GMP to comply with SGMA requirements for a Groundwater Sustainability Plan. Mr Madison added that SCGA should file immediately as the GSA in order to aggressively pursue Proposition 1 grant funding and that the Authority should commence stakeholder outreach, particularly with land owners in the Cosumnes River basin because there is a lot of fear over what SGMA implantation will bring to them. Mr. Madison stated that those particular stakeholders needed to be informed of what was coming, where the boundaries would be, and what to expect of the process. Mr. Madison further

SACRAMENTO CENTRAL GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY (SCGA) Governing Board Meeting Draft Minutes – Page 6 March 11, 2015

stated that as a part of FRCD/EGWD's proposed MOU the Sacramento County Water Agency would need to acknowledge Mr. Eck's expanded role as administrator of a GSA especially as it would concern enforcement issues.

Mr. Kamilos asked for detailed Board reports related to SGMA that would contain important milestones and actions needed for the Board's consideration to facilitate productive Board discussion.

9. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

- a) Government Affairs Update Mr. Eck stated that there were about eight pieces of proposed legislation on the table related to groundwater. A number of these bills are related to SGMA while others were bills that had come back around such as one that would make well drilling logs public information. Mr. Eck reported that staff would continue to track those bills.
- b) Form 700 Mr. Eck requested that outstanding Form 700's be submitted to staff and stated that those individuals who had yet to submit the form would be contacted by staff.
- c) GAP Committee Meeting Mr. Eck announced that the GAP committee would be meeting immediately following the regular SCGA Board meeting.

10. DIRECTORS' COMMENTS

None

ADJOURNMENT	
Upcoming Meetings –	
Next SCGA Board of Directors Meeting Goethe Road, South Conference Room No. 1	Wednesday, May 13, 2015, 9 am; 100601212 (Sunset Maple).
By:	
Chairperson	Date

Date

AGENDA ITEM 4: BUDGET SUBCOMMITTEE REPORT

BACKGROUND:

The SCGA Board appointed Paul Schubert, Dave Ocenosak, and Rick Bettis to the SCGA FY 2015/2016 Budget Committee on March 11, 2015. The Budget Committee met on April 29, 2015 and discussed SCGA program objectives and proposed budget for FY 2015/2016.

Program Objectives –

SCGA is planning on accomplishing the following program objectives during FY 2015/2016:

- Evaluating administrative alternatives and the existing groundwater management plan for compliance with the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act
- Biennial Basin Management Report
- Monitoring
 - o Elevation
 - o Quality
- Data Management
- Groundwater Modeling
- Possible Grant Application Funding
- GAP
- Evaluation of Existing Funding Program including multi-year projection of anticipated revenues and expenditures

SCGA plans to hire outside consultants to assist in some of the program objectives.

The Committee concurred with these program objectives.

Proposed Budget -

The proposed 2015/2016 fiscal year budget was developed based on the program objectives outlined above. The following provides a summary of the attachments to the Board item.

- Attachment B Funding
 - o Funding is based on the provisions of the JPA [Section 8(d)].
 - o Funding from all sources totals \$210,423
- Attachment C Provides a breakdown of the overall budget
 - o Means of financing:

Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority Board Meeting May 13, 2015

•	Prior year fund balance:	\$358,049
•	Contributions:	210,423
•	Reverse Release:	36,233
•	AB303 Grant	29,000
•	Interest income:	(2,905)
•	TOTAL:	\$630,800

o Expenditures:

■ TOTAL: \$630,800

Sufficient money remains in the fund balance to meet the 20 percent reserve requirement identified in the Authority's Policy and Procedures (see Attachment A – Fiscal Year 2015/2016 Authority's Budget).

The Committee concurred with the proposed budget.

Staff recommends the Board adopt of Resolution No. 2015-01 to fund the Authority's administrative budget for FY 2015/2016 and provide for the collection of the annual contributions as described in the JPA.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Action: Adopt Resolution No. 2015-01 to fund the Authority's administrative budget for FY 2015/2016 and provide for the collection of the annual contributions as described in the JPA.

SACRAMENTO CENTRAL GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY CALIFORNIA

For the Agenda of: May 13, 2015

To: Board of Directors

Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority

From: Staff

Subject: Adoption Of The Fiscal Year 2015/2016 Sacramento Central Groundwater

Authority Budget And Authorization To Collect Annual Contributions

Contact: Darrell K. Eck, Executive Director, 874-5039

Overview

The Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority (Authority) was established to maintain the long-term sustainable yield of the Central Sacramento County Groundwater Basin (Central Basin). The Joint Powers Agreement (JPA) between the City of Elk Grove, the City of Folsom, the City of Rancho Cordova, the City of Sacramento and the County of Sacramento creating the Authority provides the funding mechanism necessary to implement the Central Sacramento County Groundwater Management Plan (Central Basin GMP). Collection of the contributions described in the JPA and adoption of the Authority's 2015/2016 fiscal year budget provide the means for the Authority to implement the Central Basin GMPs administrative programs.

Recommendations

Adopt Resolution No. 2015-01 to fund the Authority's administrative budget for fiscal year 2015/2016 and provide for the collection of the annual contributions as described in the JPA.

Adoption Of The Fiscal Year 2015/2016 Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority Budget And Authorization To Collect Annual Contributions

Page 2

BACKGROUND:

On August 29, 2006 the Cities of Folsom, Elk Grove, Rancho Cordova and Sacramento and the County of Sacramento executed a joint powers agreement creating the Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority (Authority). The purpose of the Authority is to maintain the long-term sustainable yield of the Central Basin; ensure implementation of the Basin Management Objectives (BMOs) that are prescribed by the Central Basin GMP; oversee the operation of any Well Protection Program that may be prescribed by the Central Basin GMP; manage the use of groundwater in the Central Basin and facilitate implementation of an appropriate conjunctive use program by water purveyors; coordinate efforts among those entities represented on the governing body of the joint powers authority to devise and implement strategies to safeguard groundwater quality; and work collaboratively with other entities, including other groundwater management authorities that may be formed in the County of Sacramento and adjacent political jurisdictions, in order to promote coordination of policies and activities throughout the region.

On November 8, 2006 the Board adopted the Central Basin GMP. The Central Basin GMP reviews current and future water supply and demands and contains BMOs that address the rate of groundwater extraction, groundwater elevation, land surface subsidence, surface water flows and groundwater contamination. The Central Basin GMP also contains "trigger points" and remedies to ensure full implementation of the BMOs. It also provides for the protection of private groundwater wells and establishes cooperative relationships with Sacramento County's Environmental Management Department and other regulatory agencies to address groundwater contamination.

DISCUSSION:

The proposed 2015/2016 fiscal year budget was developed based on the following program objectives and is shown in Attachments A, B, C, and D.

Program Objectives –

- Evaluating administrative alternatives and the existing groundwater management plan for compliance with the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act
- Biennial Basin Management Report
- Monitoring
 - Elevation
 - Quality
- Data Management
- Groundwater Modeling
- Possible Grant Application Funding
- Groundwater Accounting Program
- Evaluation of Existing Funding Program including multi-year projection of anticipated revenues and expenditures

Adoption Of The Fiscal Year 2015/2016 Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority Budget And Authorization To Collect Annual Contributions

Page 3

The proposed budget for SCGA was presented, discussed and approval recommended by the SCGA Budget Committee on April 29, 2015. Budget Committee members included Paul Schubert, Dave Ocenosak, and Rick Bettis.

Based on the Committee's recommendation, staff recommends adoption of Resolution No. 2015-01 to fund the Authority's administrative budget for fiscal year 2015/2016 and provide for the collection of the annual contributions as described in the JPA.

Attachments:

Resolution No. 2015-01

Attachment A – Fiscal Year 2015/2016 Authority's Budget

Attachment B – Authority's Members Contribution (2014-2015)

Attachment C – Fiscal Year 2014/2015 Authority's 2-Year Budget Break-down

Attachment D – Operating Expenses Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority 2014/2015

RESOLUTION NO. 2015-01

SACRAMENTO CENTRAL GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY RESOLUTION ADOPTING AND ASSIGNING COSTS TO FUND SCGA'S ADMINISTRATIVE AND PROGRAM BUDGETS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015/2016 AND PROVIDE FOR THE COLLECTION OF ANNUAL CONTRIBUTIONS

WHEREAS, on August 29, 2006 the Joint Powers Agreement Between the City of Elk Grove, the City of Folsom, the City of Rancho Cordova, the City of Sacramento and the County of Sacramento Creating the Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority ("JPA") established a separate public entity identified as the Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority ("AUTHORITY") with its own Board of Directors ("BOARD"); and

WHEREAS, the AUTHORITY was created to maintain the long-term sustainable yield of the Central Basin in accordance with the Central Sacramento County Groundwater Management Plan; and

WHEREAS, the JPA provides for the collection of annual contributions to fund implementation of the Central Sacramento County Groundwater Management Plan;

WHEREAS, the AUTHORITY's administrative budget for fiscal year 2015/2016 is specified in Attachment A. The budget includes projections of revenues, staff expenses, consultant expenses, office expenses and Groundwater Management Plan related expenses. The administrative budget is required to finance the administrative activities necessary to manage the Central Groundwater Basin.

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved by the BOARD as follows:

- 1. The above recitals are correct and the BOARD so finds and determines.
- 2. The BOARD finds and determines that:
 - a. The SCGA administrative budget for fiscal year 2015/2016 as specified in Attachment A is hereby adopted; and
 - b. The annual contribution for the SCGA administrative budget for fiscal year 2015/2016 budget will be collected from the contributors as directed in the JPA pursuant to Attachment B; and

c. Billing for the annual contribution shall be mailed not later than thirty (30) days following the adoption of this resolution with payment to be made within thirty (30) days of receipt of billing.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the BOARD at their regular board meeting on May 13, 2015.

By:				
·	Chair			

ATTACHMENT A - Fiscal Year 2015-2016 Authority's Budget

FUND: Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority (096B)

ACTIVITY: Groundwater Supply Operations (0960001) FISCAL YEAR: 2015 -16

	Actual	Actual	Actual	Adopted	Estimate	Requested
	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-14	2014-15	2014-15	2015-16
MEANS OF FINANCING						
Reserves:						
Prior Year Fund Balance	631,157	714,927	139,454	116,451	116,451	358,049
Revenues:						
Contributions from other Agencies	264,047	254,492	244,222	236,962	236,962	210,423
Interfund Charges (Transfer In / Out) reimbursement from SCGA WPP fund	0	0	0	0		0
Reserve Release		0	0	278,934	278,934	36,233
Interest Income	2,862	5,332	2,000	0		(2,905)
AB303 Grant Reimbursement			200,000	199,823	170,824	29,000
Encumbrance Rollover from Prior Year	2,900	(1,370)	0	0		
Total Means of Financing	900,966	973,381	585,676_	832,170	803,171	630,800
FINANCING USES						
Provision for Reserves	0	707,430	31,626	0		
Interfund Charges (Transfer In / Out) reimbursement from SCGA WPP fund		·	0	0		
Salaries / Benefits	0	0	0	0		0
Services & Supplies	186,039	126,497	554,050	832,170	445,122	630,800
Other Charges	0	0	0	0		0
Total Financing Uses	186,039	833,927_	585,676	832,170	<u>445,122</u> _	630,800
ENDING FUND BALANCE	714,927	139,454	0	0	358,049	0

See Attachment D for Budget Detail

		A .4	ATTACHMENT B			
		Authority's	Members Contribution (2015-2016)			
	Annual Contribution	Annual Contribution/Surface Water	Annual Contribution/Groundwater	Annual Contribution/Ag	Annual Contribution/Ag/Res	Total Annual Contribution
Board Members	Paragraph 8(d)(i)	Paragraph 8(d)(ii)	Paragraph 8(d)(iii)	Paragraph 8(d)(iv)	Paragraph 8(d)(v)	
City of Folsom	\$ 10,000			0 1 (// /	3 1 (7()	\$ 10,000
City of Rancho Cordova	\$ 10,000					\$ 10,000
City of Sacramento	\$ 10,000					\$ 10,000
City of Elk Grove	\$ 10,000					\$ 10,000
County of Sacramento	\$ 10,000					\$ 10,000
Agricultural Interests	7 33,555			\$ 64,973		\$ 64,973
Agriculture-Residential				V 3.3,6.13	\$ 10,505	\$ 10,505
Commercial/Industrial Self Supplied					Ţ : 0,000	\$ -
Conservation Landowners						\$ -
Public Agencies/Self Supplied						\$ -
Elk Grove Water Service			\$ -			\$ -
Omochumne-Hartnell Water District			Ψ			\$ -
Rancho Murieta CSD		\$ 6,000				\$ 6,000
California-American Water Co.		Ψ 0,000	\$ 27,347			\$ 27,347
Golden State Water Company	+	\$ 6,000				\$ 27,347
Sacramento County Water Agency	+	\$ 6,000				\$ 42,791
Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District		φ 0,000	30,791			φ 42,791
Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District						
Total	\$ 50,000	\$ 18,000	\$ 66,945	\$ 64,973	\$ 10,505	\$ 210,423
				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,	-, -
Annual Contribution by Agriculture is 25-percent of			vious three calendar years and exclu the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid			
Annual Contribution by Agriculture is 25-percent of Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is 2	f the estimated annual p	pumping (as determined by SCWA) at	the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid	out of SCWA Zone 13 fu	nds	
Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is 2	f the estimated annual p	pumping (as determined by SCWA) at attended annual pumping (as determined by	the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid y SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot	oot and paid out of SCWA	nds Zone 13 funds	
	f the estimated annual percent of the estimated annual percent	pumping (as determined by SCWA) at ated annual pumping (as determined by Exclusion	the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid y SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot	oot and paid out of SCWA	nds	
Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is 2 Groundwater/Purveyors	f the estimated annual personal persona	pumping (as determined by SCWA) at ated annual pumping (as determined by Exclusion (acre-feet)	y SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid Net Pumping (acre-feet)	Rate (\$/acre-foot)	nds A Zone 13 funds Cost	
Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is 2 Groundwater/Purveyors Commercial/Industrial Self Supplied	f the estimated annual percent of the estimated annual percent	pumping (as determined by SCWA) at a lated annual pumping (as determined by Exclusion (acre-feet)	the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid y SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot Net Pumping (acre-feet)	Rate (\$/acre-foot)	nds Zone 13 funds Cost	
Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is 2 Groundwater/Purveyors Commercial/Industrial Self Supplied Public Agencies/Self Supplied	Pumping Amount (acre-feet)	exclusion (acre-feet)	the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid y SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot Net Pumping (acre-feet) 0	Rate (\$/acre-foot) \$ 2.07	nds A Zone 13 funds Cost \$ - \$ -	
Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is 2 Groundwater/Purveyors Commercial/Industrial Self Supplied Public Agencies/Self Supplied Elk Grove Water Service	f the estimated annual personal persona	pumping (as determined by SCWA) at a lated annual pumping (as determined by Exclusion (acre-feet)	the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid y SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot Net Pumping (acre-feet) 0 0	Rate (\$/acre-foot) \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07	nds A Zone 13 funds Cost \$ - \$ - \$ -	
Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is 2 Groundwater/Purveyors Commercial/Industrial Self Supplied Public Agencies/Self Supplied Elk Grove Water Service Omochumne-Hartnell Water District	Pumping Amount (acre-feet) 0 4,958	exclusion (acre-feet) 0 5,000	the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid y SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot Net Pumping (acre-feet) 0 0 0	Rate	Cost S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S	
Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is 2 Groundwater/Purveyors Commercial/Industrial Self Supplied Public Agencies/Self Supplied Elk Grove Water Service Omochumne-Hartnell Water District Rancho Murieta CSD	Pumping Amount (acre-feet) 0 4,958	exclusion (acre-feet) 0 5,000	the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid by SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot Net Pumping (acre-feet) 0 0 0	Rate (\$/acre-foot) \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07	Cost S	
Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is 2 Groundwater/Purveyors Commercial/Industrial Self Supplied Public Agencies/Self Supplied Elk Grove Water Service Omochumne-Hartnell Water District Rancho Murieta CSD California-American Water Co.	Pumping Amount (acre-feet) 0 4,958 0 18,211	Exclusion (acre-feet) 0 5,000	the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid y SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot Net Pumping (acre-feet) 0 0 0 13,211	Rate (\$/acre-foot) \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07	nds A Zone 13 funds Cost \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	
Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is 2 Groundwater/Purveyors Commercial/Industrial Self Supplied Public Agencies/Self Supplied Elk Grove Water Service Omochumne-Hartnell Water District Rancho Murieta CSD California-American Water Co. Golden State Water Company	Pumping Amount (acre-feet) 0 4,958 0 18,211 6,356	Exclusion (acre-feet) 5,000 5,000 5,000 5,000	the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid y SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot Net Pumping (acre-feet) 0 0 13,211 1,356	Rate (\$/acre-foot) \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07	rnds A Zone 13 funds Cost \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 27,347 \$ 2,807	
Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is 2 Groundwater/Purveyors Commercial/Industrial Self Supplied Public Agencies/Self Supplied Elk Grove Water Service Omochumne-Hartnell Water District Rancho Murieta CSD California-American Water Co.	Pumping Amount (acre-feet) 0 4,958 0 18,211	Exclusion (acre-feet) 0 5,000	the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid y SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot Net Pumping (acre-feet) 0 0 0 13,211	Rate (\$/acre-foot) \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07	rnds A Zone 13 funds Cost \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 27,347 \$ 2,807	
Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is 2 Groundwater/Purveyors Commercial/Industrial Self Supplied Public Agencies/Self Supplied Elk Grove Water Service Omochumne-Hartnell Water District Rancho Murieta CSD California-American Water Co. Golden State Water Company	Pumping Amount (acre-feet) 0 4,958 0 18,211 6,356	Exclusion (acre-feet) 5,000 5,000 5,000 5,000	the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid y SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot Net Pumping (acre-feet) 0 0 13,211 1,356	Rate (\$/acre-foot) \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07	rnds A Zone 13 funds Cost \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 27,347 \$ 2,807	
Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is 2 Groundwater/Purveyors Commercial/Industrial Self Supplied Public Agencies/Self Supplied Elk Grove Water Service Omochumne-Hartnell Water District Rancho Murieta CSD California-American Water Co. Golden State Water Company Sacramento County Water Agency - Zone 41 Groundwater/Ag	Pumping Amount (acre-feet) 0 4,958 0 18,211 6,356 22,773	Exclusion (acre-feet) 0 5,000 5,000 5,000 25% of estimated pumping	Net Pumping (acre-feet) 0 0 13,211 1,356 17,773	Rate (\$/acre-foot) \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07	Cost Cost S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S	
Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is 2 Groundwater/Purveyors Commercial/Industrial Self Supplied Public Agencies/Self Supplied Elk Grove Water Service Omochumne-Hartnell Water District Rancho Murieta CSD California-American Water Co. Golden State Water Company Sacramento County Water Agency - Zone 41 Groundwater/Ag Agricultural Interests	Pumping Amount (acre-feet) 0 4,958 0 18,211 6,356	Exclusion (acre-feet) 0 5,000 5,000 5,000 25% of estimated pumping	the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid y SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot Net Pumping (acre-feet) 0 13,211 1,356 17,773	Rate (\$/acre-foot) \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07	Cost Cost S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S	
Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is 2 Groundwater/Purveyors Commercial/Industrial Self Supplied Public Agencies/Self Supplied Elk Grove Water Service Omochumne-Hartnell Water District Rancho Murieta CSD California-American Water Co. Golden State Water Company Sacramento County Water Agency - Zone 41 Groundwater/Ag	Pumping Amount (acre-feet) 0 4,958 0 18,211 6,356 22,773	Exclusion (acre-feet) 0 5,000 5,000 5,000 25% of estimated pumping	the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid y SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot Net Pumping (acre-feet) 0 13,211 1,356 17,773	Rate (\$/acre-foot) \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07	Cost Cost S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S	
Annual Contribution by Agriculture/Residential is 2 Groundwater/Purveyors Commercial/Industrial Self Supplied Public Agencies/Self Supplied Elk Grove Water Service Omochumne-Hartnell Water District Rancho Murieta CSD California-American Water Co. Golden State Water Company Sacramento County Water Agency - Zone 41 Groundwater/Ag Agricultural Interests	Pumping Amount (acre-feet) 0 4,958 0 18,211 6,356 22,773	Exclusion (acre-feet) 0 5,000 5,000 5,000 25% of estimated pumping	the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot and paid y SCWA) at the rate of \$2.07/acre-foot Net Pumping (acre-feet) 0 13,211 1,356 17,773	Rate (\$/acre-foot) \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07 \$ 2.07	Cost Cost S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S	

ATTACHMENT C - Fiscal Year 2015-2016 Authority's 2-Year Budget Breakdown

MEANS OF FINANCING	Proposed Proposed FY14/15 FY15/16 Budget Budget				
MEANS OF FINANCING		Budget	Φ	Budget	
Prior Year Fund Balance	\$	168,083	\$	358,049	
Revenues:					
Contributions from Member Agencies	Φ.	50.000	Φ.	50.000	
Annual Contribution	\$	50,000	\$	50,000	
Surface Water Contribution		18,000		18,000	
Groundwater Contribution		76,771		66,945	
Agricultural Contribution		88,493		64,973	
Ag/Res Contribution		3,881		10,505	
Subtotal of Contributions		237,145		210,423	
Reserve Release		227,118		36,233	
AB 303 Grant (Prop. 84)		199,824		29,000	
Interest Income		-		(2,905)	
Total Means of Financing	\$	832,170	\$	630,800	
FINANCING USES					
Salaries/Benefits	\$	-	\$	-	
Services & Supplies:					
Staff Expenses		86,500		291,500	
Consultant Expenses		633,870		333,000	
Office Expenses		8,400		6,300	
GMP Related Expenses		103,400		-	
Reporting Expenses		-		-	
Provision for Reserve		-		-	
Total Financing Uses	\$	832,170	\$	630,800	

		Attachment D - Authority's Operating Expenses (2015 -2016)		
Operating Expenses	(\$ Dollar)	Notes		
1. Staff Expenses				
Staff	\$ 265,000	G/L 20293403 Executive Director & G/L 20293403 Staff		
Legal Counsel	\$ 12,000	G/L 20253100		
Financial	\$ 11,000	G/L 20293403; Remie and Bill		
AFS Contract Services	\$ 2,000	Contract payment and writing allocation costs. (G/L 20293401)		
Travel/Conference	\$ 1,500	G/L 20202900		
Total Staff Expenses	\$ 291,500			
2. Consultant Expenses				
Audit Report	\$ 9,000	VTD & Co. Audit Expense, G/L 20250500		
Technical Services	\$ 245,000	 \$25k - G.A.P. Development; \$180k - Assist SCGA transitioning to a GSA, including grant application, data management, public outreach, and website update etc. \$30k - Biennial Basin Management Report \$10k - Ag/Res Water Conservation 		
BMO#2 Implementation and GW		The second secon		
Recharge Mapping	\$ 79,000	Work continues into 15/16, funded by remaining AB303 grant fund, and the balance will be funded by SCGA. (G/L 20259100)		
Total Consultant Expenses	\$ 333,000			
3. Office Expenses				
General Liability Insurance	\$ 6,000	Annual premium paid to Sacramento County Risk Management		
Office Supplies/Postage	\$ 150	G/L 20207600		
Dues & Subscriptions	\$ 150	GRA Membership dues (G/L 20206100)		
Total Office Expenses	\$ 6,300			
GRAND TOTAL	\$ 630,800			

AGENDA ITEM 5: GROUNDWATER SUSTAINABILITY AGENCY

BACKGROUND:

The Sustainable Groundwater Management Act requires the formation of Groundwater Sustainability Agencies to manage groundwater basins that have been identified as highor medium-priority by the state Department of Water Resources. Basically, this process includes identification and consideration of interested stakeholders, identification of basin boundaries, and filing with the Department of Water Resources. Staff will be participating in a workshop specifically for the Sacramento region that is being put on by the Regional Water Authority and the Sacramento Groundwater Authority on June 3, 2015 (see attached flyer). The workshop will provide:

- Background information on the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA) to decision makers
- Updates on the development of regulations and legislation that would amend SGMA
- Discuss the activities of jurisdictions in the region relative to implementing the law
- Ensure coordination among multiple entities that will be involved in on-going implementation

Those with a current or future role in groundwater management are encouraged to participate.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Action: Direct staff to proceed with a process that would lead to the designation of the Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority as the Groundwater Sustainability Agency for the Central Basin.





SAVE THE DATE

Sacramento Region Workshop on the

Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA)

June 3, 2015

10:00 a.m. - 1:30 p.m.

The Sustainable Groundwater Management Act, passed by the Legislature and signed into law in 2014 made fundamental changes to groundwater management in California, including:

- Creating a new type of agency, the Groundwater Sustainability Agency (GSA)
- Identifying the authorities available to GSA's
- Setting specific compliance dates for local agencies in 2017 and 2022
- Expanding the potential role of cities and counties in groundwater management
- Defining a state "intervention" role in the event locals do not comply

While a number of organizations have held workshops on the SGMA over the past six months, this workshop is specifically targeted at water providers, groundwater users, and city and county officials in the groundwater basins overlying Placer, Sacramento, and southern Sutter Counties (the North American, South American, and Cosumnes subbasins as defined by the state.

The objectives of the workshop will be to:

- Provide background information on the SGMA to decision makers
- Provide updates on the development of regulations and legislation that would amend the SGMA
- Discuss the activities of jurisdictions in the region relative to implementing the law
- Ensure coordination among multiple entities that will be involved in ongoing implementation

If you have a current or future role in groundwater management, we urge you to join us. A detailed agenda will follow in early May. For more information, please contact John Woodling at (916) 967-7692 or iwoodling@rwah2o.org. To RSVP, contact Cecilia Partridge at Cecilia@rwah2o.org.

Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority Board Meeting May 13, 2015

AGENDA ITEM 6: EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

- a) Government Affairs Update
- b) Form 700
- c) GAP Committee Meeting

TO: SACRAMENTO CENTRAL GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY BOARD FROM: DARRELL ECK

RE: EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

- a) Government Affairs Update There are numerous bills introduced in the legislature that would amend the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act or otherwise change water law. The Regional Water Authority is tracking bills that relate to local and regional issues. A summary of tracked bills can be found at rwah2o.org.
 - b) **Drought Update** On April 1, 2015, the governor issued Executive Order B-29-15. Key provisions include ordering the State Water Resources Control Board to impose restrictions to achieve a 25 percent reduction in potable urban water usage through February 28, 2016; directing the California Department of Water Resources to lead a statewide initiative, in partnership with local agencies, to collectively replace 50 million square feet of lawns and ornamental turf with drought tolerant landscapes; and directing the California Energy Commission to implement a statewide appliance rebate program to provide monetary incentives for the replacement of inefficient household devices. The order also requires agencies to immediately comply with groundwater monitoring requirements of the CASGEM program, which SCGA has been doing for several years. The April 1, 2015 executive order is available at http://gov.ca.gov/news.php?id=18910.
- c) **GAP Committee** The GAP Committee will meet May 20, 2015 at 9:00 am at Golden State Water Company.